

01  
02  
03  
04  
05  
06  
07  
08 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
09 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
10 AT SEATTLE

11 RICHARD SCOTT, ) Case No. C06-731-TSZ-JPD  
12 )  
13 Plaintiff, )  
14 )  
15 v. ) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
16 ) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION  
17 KING COUNTY, )  
18 )  
19 Defendant. )  
20 )  
21 )  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )

17 On July 17, 2006, the Court denied plaintiff's motion to sanction the Clerk for  
18 erroneously indicating that he needed to complete an application to proceed in forma pauperis  
19 ("IFP") form for prisoners.<sup>1</sup> Dkt. No. 9. The Court directed the Clerk to provide plaintiff  
20 with an IFP form for non-prisoner civil litigants and gave plaintiff until August 16, 2006, to  
21 submit the completed form. *Id.* This matter comes before the Court upon plaintiff's  
22 "renewed motion for sanctions against Clerk." Dkt. No. 11. Plaintiff argues that the Clerk is  
23 purposefully attempting to prevent him from proceeding with his suit. *Id.* The Court  
24 construes this as a motion for reconsideration. Having carefully reviewed the motion and  
25  
26

---

<sup>1</sup>Petitioner is a civil detainee held at the Special Commitment Center on McNeil Island.

(1) Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No. 11) is DENIED. Motions for reconsideration are disfavored and will ordinarily be denied in the "absence of a showing of manifest error . . . or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to [the Court's] attention earlier without reasonable diligence." Local Rule CR 7(h). Plaintiff has failed to make such a showing in this case. His bald assertions that the Clerk is attempting to thwart his claim are without merit.

DATED this 31st day of July, 2006.